

A NOTE ON COHOMOLOGICAL LOCALIZATION

HENNING KRAUSE

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Paul M. Cohn.

ABSTRACT. Given a cohomological functor from a triangulated category to an abelian category, we construct under appropriate assumptions for any localization functor of the abelian category a lift to a localization functor of the triangulated category. This discussion of Bousfield localization is combined with a basic introduction to the concept of localization for arbitrary categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

Localization is a technique to make morphisms in a category invertible. In this note we provide a basic introduction to this concept and study a particular type of Bousfield localization. More specifically, given a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ and a cohomological functor $H^*: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ into an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, we construct (under appropriate assumptions) for any localization functor $L: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a new localization functor $\tilde{L}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $H^*\tilde{L} \cong LH^*$.

This paper is divided into two sections. Localization functors are introduced in full generality in the first section. This material is classical. In fact, we present different approaches and explain how they are related. Typical examples arise from localizations of abelian and triangulated categories. The second section is devoted to studying a particular type of Bousfield localization. Here the main result seems to be new.

The material of this note is based on some joint work with Dave Benson and Srikanth Iyengar [1], where a special case of Theorem 3.1 is used. Having in mind further applications, we clarify results from this work by putting them into a broader context. References to some of the classical sources, where localizations are introduced and used, are listed at the end of this note.

2. LOCALIZATION FUNCTORS

2.1. Categories of fractions. The following definition is taken from [4]. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is said to make a morphism σ of $\mathcal{C}$ invertible if $F\sigma$ is invertible. For a category $\mathcal{C}$ and any class Σ of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$, there exists (ignoring set-theoretic issues) the *category of fractions* $\mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}]$ together with a canonical functor

$$Q_\Sigma: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}]$$

having the following properties:

- (Q1) Q_Σ makes the morphisms in Σ invertible.
- (Q2) If a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ makes the morphisms in Σ invertible, then there is a unique functor $\bar{F}: \mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $F = \bar{F} \circ Q_\Sigma$.

Sometimes a functor of the form $Q_\Sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}]$ is called localization functor. However, in this note we follow a slightly different approach. To be precise, we require the existence of a right adjoint $G: \mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and then we call the composition $G \circ Q_\Sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a localization functor; see Definition 2.4.

2.2. Adjoint functors. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a pair of functors and assume that F is left adjoint to G . We denote by

$$\Phi: F \circ G \rightarrow \text{Id } \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G \circ F$$

the corresponding adjunction morphisms. Let Σ denote the class of morphisms σ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $F\sigma$ is invertible.

Lemma 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The functor G is fully faithful.*
- (2) *The morphism $\Phi: F \circ G \rightarrow \text{Id } \mathcal{D}$ is invertible.*
- (3) *The functor $\bar{F}: \mathcal{C}[\Sigma^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ satisfying $F = \bar{F} \circ Q_\Sigma$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. See [4, Sect. I.1.3]. □

2.3. Localization functors. Let (F, G) be a pair of adjoint functors satisfying the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.1. We consider the special properties of the composition $L = G \circ F$, and it turns out that the pair (F, G) can be reconstructed from L and the adjunction morphism $\Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor and $\Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L$ be a morphism. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *$L\Psi: L \rightarrow L^2$ is invertible and $L\Psi = \Psi L$.*
- (2) *There exists an adjoint pair of functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that G is fully faithful, $L = G \circ F$, and $\Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G \circ F$ is the adjunction morphism.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ formed by all objects X such that ΨX is invertible. For each $X \in \mathcal{D}$, let $\Phi X: LX \rightarrow X$ be the inverse of ΨX . Define $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by $FX = LX$ and let $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the inclusion. We claim that F and G form an adjoint pair. In fact, it is straightforward to check that the maps

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FX, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, GY), \quad \alpha \mapsto G\alpha \circ \Psi X$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, GY) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FX, Y), \quad \beta \mapsto \Phi Y \circ F\beta$$

are mutually inverse bijections.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $\Phi: FG \rightarrow \text{Id } \mathcal{D}$ denote the second adjunction morphism. Then the compositions

$$F \xrightarrow{F\Psi} FGF \xrightarrow{\Phi F} F \quad \text{and} \quad G \xrightarrow{\Psi G} GFG \xrightarrow{G\Phi} G$$

are identity morphisms. We know from Lemma 2.1 that Φ is invertible because G is fully faithful. Therefore $L\Psi = GF\Psi$ is invertible. Moreover, we have

$$L\Psi = GF\Psi = (G\Phi F)^{-1} = \Psi GF = \Psi L.$$

□

Remark 2.3. Let (L, Ψ) be a pair as above. Then the morphism Ψ is determined by L . To see this, let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ formed by all objects isomorphic to one of the form LX with X in $\mathcal{C}$. Then the inclusion $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint F taking $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to LX , and Ψ equals the adjunction morphism $\text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow GF = L$.

Definition 2.4. A functor $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called *localization functor* if there exists a morphism $\Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L$ such that the pair (L, Ψ) satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.2. We call Ψ the *adjunction morphism* for L .

2.4. Local objects. Let $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a localization functor and $\Psi: \text{Id } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L$ its adjunction morphism. We write Σ_L for the class of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ which L makes invertible.

Lemma 2.5. *The following statements are equivalent for an object X in $\mathcal{C}$.*

- (1) $\Psi X: X \rightarrow LX$ is invertible.
- (2) $X \cong LX'$ for some $X' \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (3) For all $\sigma: V \rightarrow W$ in Σ_L , the induced map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\sigma, X): \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V, X)$$

is bijective.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Take $X' = X$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Fix $\sigma: V \rightarrow W$ and consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(LW, LX) & \xrightarrow{(\Psi W, LX)} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(W, LX) \\ \downarrow (L\sigma, LX) & & \downarrow (\sigma, LX) \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(LV, LX) & \xrightarrow{(\Psi V, LX)} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V, LX) \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps are invertible. It follows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\sigma, LX)$ is invertible, provided that $L\sigma$ is invertible.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): The morphism ΨX belongs to Σ_L because L is a localization functor. Thus the assumption implies that the induced map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(LX, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X)$ is bijective. Let $\alpha: LX \rightarrow X$ be the morphism which is sent to $\text{id } X$. Then α is an inverse of ΨX , and therefore ΨX is invertible. $\square$

Definition 2.6. An object X in $\mathcal{C}$ is called *L -local* if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.5.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_L$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ which is formed by all L -local objects. Observe that $\mathcal{C}_L$ determines L up to an isomorphism. This is a consequence of the first part of the following proposition, because a left adjoint of the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is unique up to an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a localization functor.*

- (1) *The functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_L$ taking $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to LX is a left adjoint for the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*
- (2) *The functor L induces an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{C}[\Sigma_L^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_L.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 2.2 and its proof. The second part then follows from Lemma 2.1. $\square$

2.5. Acyclic objects. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category and $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an additive localization functor. An object X in $\mathcal{C}$ is called *L -acyclic* if $LX = 0$. We denote by $\text{Ker } L$ the full subcategory formed by all L -acyclic objects.

Lemma 2.8. *An object X in $\mathcal{C}$ is L -acyclic if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = 0$ for all L -local objects Y .*

Proof. Write $L = G \circ F$ as the composite of two adjoint functors; see Lemma 2.2. We have for each pair X, Y in $\mathcal{C}$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(LX, LY) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(FX, FY) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, LY).$$

Now the assertion follows from the fact $Y \cong LY$ for each L -local object Y . $\square$

2.6. Localization for abelian categories. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and let $L: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an exact localization functor. Then $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $\text{Ker } L$ are abelian categories. Moreover, the inclusion $\text{Ker } L \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_L$ sending $X \in \mathcal{A}$ to LX are both exact. Note that L induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_L$$

where $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L$ denotes the quotient category in the sense of Gabriel [3]. Recall that $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L = \mathcal{A}[\Sigma^{-1}]$, where Σ denotes the class of morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ such that its kernel and cokernel belong to $\text{Ker } L$. The equivalence $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_L$ now follows from Proposition 2.7 since Σ equals the class of morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ which L makes invertible.

2.7. Localization for triangulated categories. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category and let $L: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be an exact localization functor. Then $\mathcal{T}_L$ and $\text{Ker } L$ are triangulated categories. Moreover, the inclusion $\text{Ker } L \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and the functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_L$ sending $X \in \mathcal{T}$ to LX are both exact. Note that L induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_L$$

where $\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } L$ denotes the quotient category in the sense of Verdier [7]. Recall that $\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } L = \mathcal{T}[\Sigma^{-1}]$, where Σ denotes the class of morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$ such that its cone belongs to $\text{Ker } L$. The equivalence $\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_L$ now follows from Proposition 2.7 since Σ equals the class of morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$ which L makes invertible.

3. COHOMOLOGICAL LOCALIZATION

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category which admits small coproducts. We assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is generated by a set of compact objects. Let S denote the suspension functor of $\mathcal{T}$.

We fix a graded¹ ring Λ and a graded cohomological functor

$$H^*: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

into the category $\mathcal{A}$ of graded Λ -modules. Thus H^* is a functor which sends each exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}$ to an exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$, and we have an isomorphism $H^* \circ S \cong T \circ H^*$ where T denotes the shift functor for $\mathcal{A}$. In addition, we assume that H^* preserves small products and coproducts.

¹All graded rings and modules are graded over $\mathbb{Z}$. Morphisms between graded modules are degree zero maps.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (L, Ψ) be an exact localization functor for the category $\mathcal{A}$ of graded Λ -modules. Then there exists an exact localization functor $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\Psi})$ for $\mathcal{T}$ such that the following diagram commutes up to a natural isomorphism.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{L}} & \mathcal{T} \\ \downarrow H^* & & \downarrow H^* \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

More precisely, we have the following.

- (1) The morphisms $LH^*\tilde{\Psi}$, $\Psi H^*\tilde{L}$, and

$$LH^* \xrightarrow{LH^*\tilde{\Psi}} LH^*\tilde{L} \xrightarrow{(\Psi H^*\tilde{L})^{-1}} H^*\tilde{L}$$

are invertible.

- (2) An object X in $\mathcal{T}$ is $\tilde{L}$ -acyclic if and only if H^*X is L -acyclic.
(3) If an object X in $\mathcal{T}$ is $\tilde{L}$ -local, then H^*X is L -local. The converse holds, provided that H^* reflects isomorphisms.

A special case of this theorem with almost identical proof appears in [1].

3.1. Cohomological functors. Recall that a functor from $\mathcal{T}$ to any abelian category is *cohomological* if it sends each exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}$ to an exact sequence. Our assumption on $\mathcal{T}$ implies that $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies Brown representability: each cohomological functor $\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ sending small coproducts in $\mathcal{T}$ to products is representable, and each cohomological functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ sending small products in $\mathcal{T}$ to products is representable; see [6].

We consider some cohomological functors in more detail. Given objects X, Y in $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain a graded abelian group

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X, Y) = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, S^i Y).$$

Note that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X, X)$ has a natural structure of a graded ring and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X, Y)$ is a graded $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$ -module.

Now fix an object C in $\mathcal{T}$ and a homomorphism of graded rings $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C)$. For each X in $\mathcal{T}$, we view $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, X)$ as a graded Γ -module and obtain a graded cohomological functor from $\mathcal{T}$ into the category of graded Γ -modules. It turns out that the functor H^* is precisely of this form.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a compact object C in $\mathcal{T}$, a graded ring homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C)$, and a natural isomorphism $H^*X \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, X)$ of graded Λ -modules for all X in $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let $H^n X$ denote the degree n part of H^*X . Using Brown representability, we find a compact object C in $\mathcal{T}$ representing the functor $H^0: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. Thus $H^0 X \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(C, X)$ for all X in $\mathcal{T}$, and this extends to an isomorphism $H^*X \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, X)$ since $H^*(S^n X) \cong (H^*X)[n]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We obtain a graded ring homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C)$ because H^* is a functor into graded Λ -modules. Thus $H^*X \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, X)$ becomes an isomorphism of Λ -modules. $\square$

Remark 3.3. The functor $H^* \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, -)$ reflects isomorphism if and only if C generates $\mathcal{T}$. Recall that a compact object C *generates* $\mathcal{T}$ if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, X) = 0$ implies $X = 0$ for all objects X in $\mathcal{T}$.

3.2. Proof of the theorem. We use Lemma 3.2 and identify $H^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, -)$ for some appropriate object C in $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_L$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ formed by all L -local objects. This subcategory is *coherent* (that is, for any exact sequence $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow X_4 \rightarrow X_5$ with $X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $X_3 \in \mathcal{A}$), and closed under taking small products. The L -local objects form a Grothendieck category and therefore $\mathcal{A}_L$ admits an injective cogenerator, say I ; see [3]. Using Brown's representability theorem, there exists $\tilde{I} \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^*-, I) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, \tilde{I}).$$

Note that this extends to an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(H^*-, I) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(-, \tilde{I}).$$

Now consider the subcategory $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ which is formed by all objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$ such that H^*X is L -local. This is a triangulated subcategory which is closed under taking small products. Observe that $\tilde{I}$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}$. To prove this, take a free presentation

$$F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow H^*C \longrightarrow 0$$

over Λ and apply $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(-, I)$ to it. Using the isomorphism (3.1), we see that $H^*\tilde{I}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_L$ because $\mathcal{A}_L$ is coherent and closed under taking small products.

Now let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing $\tilde{I}$ and closed under taking small products. Observe that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. We claim that $\mathcal{U}$ is perfectly co-generated by $\tilde{I}$ in the sense of [5]. Thus, given a family of morphisms $\phi_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y_i, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X_i, \tilde{I})$ is surjective for all i , we need to show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\prod_i Y_i, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\prod_i X_i, \tilde{I})$ is surjective. We argue as follows. If $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y_i, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X_i, \tilde{I})$ is surjective, then the isomorphism (3.1) implies that $H^*\phi_i$ is a monomorphism since I is an injective cogenerator for $\mathcal{A}_L$. Thus the product $\prod_i \phi_i: \prod_i X_i \rightarrow \prod_i Y_i$ induces a monomorphism $H^*\prod_i \phi_i = \prod_i H^*\phi_i$ and therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\prod_i \phi_i, \tilde{I})$ is surjective. We conclude from Brown's representability theorem [5] that the inclusion functor $G: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ has a left adjoint $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Now put $\tilde{L} = G \circ F$ and let $\tilde{\Psi}: \text{Id } \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ denote the adjunction morphism. Then $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\Psi})$ is a localization functor by Lemma 2.2.

Next we show that an object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is $\tilde{L}$ -acyclic if and only if H^*X is L -acyclic. This follows from Lemma 2.8 and the isomorphism (3.1), because we have

$$\tilde{L}X = 0 \iff \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, \tilde{I}) = 0 \iff \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^*X, I) = 0 \iff LH^*X = 0.$$

Now consider the following commutative square.

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^*X & \xrightarrow{\Psi H^*X} & LH^*X \\ \downarrow H^*\tilde{\Psi}X & & \downarrow LH^*\tilde{\Psi}X \\ H^*\tilde{L}X & \xrightarrow{\Psi H^*\tilde{L}X} & LH^*\tilde{L}X \end{array}$$

We need to show that $LH^*\tilde{\Psi}X$ and $\Psi H^*\tilde{L}X$ are invertible for each X in $\mathcal{T}$. The morphism $\tilde{\Psi}X$ induces an exact triangle

$$X' \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}X} \tilde{L}X \rightarrow SX'$$

with $\tilde{L}X' = 0 = \tilde{L}SX'$. Applying the cohomological functor LH^* , we see that $LH^*\tilde{\Psi}X$ is an isomorphism, since $LH^*X' = 0 = LH^*SX'$. Thus $LH^*\tilde{\Psi}$ is invertible. The morphism $\Psi H^*\tilde{L}X$ is invertible because $H^*\tilde{L}X$ is L -local. This follows from the fact that $\tilde{L}X$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$.

The commutative square (3.2) implies that $H^*\tilde{\Psi}X$ is invertible if and only if ΨH^*X is invertible. Thus if X is $\tilde{L}$ -local, then H^*X is L -local. The converse holds if H^* reflects isomorphisms. $\square$

Remark 3.4. The localization functor $\tilde{L}$ is unique up to isomorphism. More precisely, $\tilde{L}$ is determined by H^* and L via part (2) of the theorem, since any localization functor is determined by its class of acyclic objects.

Remark 3.5. The composite $L \circ H^*: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induces a functor $\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L$ making the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{L}} \\ \downarrow H^* & & \downarrow J & & \downarrow H^* \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathcal{A}/\text{Ker } L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}_L \end{array}$$

The functor J has an explicit description. For this, we may assume that the canonical functors induce identity maps on objects. Given an object X in $\mathcal{T}$, we have the following chain of natural isomorphisms.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L}}^*(C, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{L}}}^*(\tilde{L}C, \tilde{L}X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C, \tilde{L}X) = H^*\tilde{L}X \cong LH^*X$$

Thus we can identify

$$JX = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L}}^*(C, X)$$

where the graded abelian group $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L}}^*(C, X)$ is viewed as a graded Λ -module via the action of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L}}^*(C)$ and the homomorphism

$$\Lambda \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}/\text{Ker } \tilde{L}}^*(C).$$

Remark 3.6. Suppose that C is a generator of $\mathcal{T}$. If L preserves small coproducts, then it follows that $\tilde{L}$ preserves small coproducts. In fact, the assumption implies that $H^*\tilde{L}$ preserves small coproducts, since $LH^* \cong H^*\tilde{L}$. But H^* reflects isomorphisms because C is a generator of $\mathcal{T}$. Thus $\tilde{L}$ preserves coproducts.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BENSON, S. IYENGAR, AND H. KRAUSE: Support varieties for triangulated categories. In preparation.
- [2] A. K. BOUSFIELD: The localization of spectra with respect to homology. *Topology* **18** (1979), 257–281.
- [3] P. GABRIEL: Des catégories abéliennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [4] P. GABRIEL AND M. ZISMAN: Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **35**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1967).

- [5] H. KRAUSE: A Brown representability theorem via coherent functors. *Topology* **41** (2002), 853–861.
- [6] A. NEEMAN: *Triangulated categories*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **148**, Princeton University Press (2001).
- [7] J. L. VERDIER: Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes. *Astérisque* **239** (1996).

HENNING KRAUSE, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, 33095 PADERBORN, GERMANY.

E-mail address: `hkrause@math.upb.de`